

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,291	01/18/2002	Sutapa Bhaduri	IDRF113 4833		
7590 12/12/2003			EXAMINER		
Ormiston & McKinney, PLLC			MCNEIL, JENNIFER C		
802 W. Bannock, Suite 400 P.O. Box 298			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER .	
Boise, ID 83701-0298			1775		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	2								
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/052,291		BHADURI ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Jennifer C N	McNeil	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATISTORY DEDICE FOR DEDICE SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 24 October 2003.							
·	_	This action is nor							
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been uments have been	received. received in Application	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
13) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for doince a specific reference was included in the TCFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language.	omestic priority und the first sentence o	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e of the specification or) (to a provisional in an Application					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5	Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Page (5) Other: .						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 10/052,291

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Applicant did not offer any arguments for traversal, therefore the election is considered without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hahn (US 3,605,123). Hahn teaches a bone implant comprising a dense or wrought base portion of high strength metal and a porous metal layer overlying and bonded to the base portion. Regarding interconnected pores as limitations in claims 2, 4, and 7, the pores of Hahn are considered interconnected as shown in at least Figure 3. The dense core is considered monolithic.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pilliar (US 3,855,638). Pilliar teaches a surgical prosthetic device comprising a solid metallic material substrate and a porous coating adhered thereto. The porous coating comprises a plurality of connected interstitial pores. The solid metallic substrate is considered monolithic.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,291

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Troczynski et al (US 6,426,114). Troczynski teaches a dental implant comprising a titanium metal substrate and a porous HA coating thereon. The coating has connected pores. The titanium metal substrate is considered monolithic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hahn (US 3,605,123). Hahn teaches a bone implant as discussed above, and further teaches that at the interface between the layer and the surface of the base metal, the layer is almost completely dense. In approaching the exterior surface of the layer, the layer becomes progressively more porous. While Hahn does not give specific examples of the amount of porosity, the teaching is considered to meet the limitation of having less than 5 % porosity 100 microns or more from the surface. This is considered the lack of porosity at the interface between the layer and the surface of the base metal. Furthermore, as Hahn teaches that the porosity increases approaching the exterior surface, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an increased porosity with increasing thickness in the layer of Hahn and to provide the porosity in an amount sufficient to provide the desired chemical, electrochemical, and thermal compatibility desired in the article (col. 2, lines 60-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/052,291

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C McNeil whose telephone number is 703-305-0553. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 703-308-3822. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ICM

December 8, 2003